

With undeniable speed and intent, it was obvious the Sixth Legal committee was hungry for progress during this opening session. Collaboration and cooperation proved key in the delegation's ability to pass a resolution and begin discussion of their second topic.

One by one, the delegates from the Sixth Legal committee walked onto the stage of the Hett. There was an unmistakable sense of responsibility in the air as the delegates found their chair and began to settle into their spot. Once the committee was recognizably present, the Chair called the committee to order. The Hett stage was nearly silent as the Chair began to take attendance. The sound of delegates monotonously stating their presence echoed through the Hett theatre. Eager for progress, the delegation seemed also annoyed by the formality of attendance. This committee wanted to begin working, immediately.

Following attendance, the committee moved directly into discussion on possible orders of topics. Keeping with the current pace of the delegation, the delegate from China hastily proposed discussion of topic two, Drone Warfare, then topic one, Strengthening the Biological Weapons Convention, and finally topic three, Immigration Law. The delegate said he felt that drone warfare was of greater importance than the other two topics. Though immigration law and the biological weapons convention are in need of attention, they do not hold the same vitality that drone warfare does. The delegate further explained his belief that drone warfare is of the most importance due to its direct, violent effect on not only countless nations, but countless innocent civilians. No one could argue with the delegate's reasoning. Thus, the order of topics was moved into immediate voting by the progress-hungry committee. With swift speed, the committee passed the order of discussion of topics as topic two, followed by topic one, and finally topic three.

Placards shot into the air faster than the Chair could open the floor to motions. With a limited amount of time in tonight's session, the committee was in obvious desire of swift progression.

Immediately following the decision of the order of topics, the delegate from Colombia proposed resolution R-2/3 to be discussed. Multiple seconds could be heard from the committee. With very little debate, the committee moved into voting on whether or not they would discuss resolution R-2/3. With the clock ticking away, the resolution was passed and brought into discussion. With a resolution finally brought into discussion, the Sixth Legal committee was eager to begin directly addressing the topic at hand.

To begin the discussion of resolution R-2/3, the Chair formulated a pro-speakers list and a con-speakers list to address possible praises or problems with the current resolution being discussed. With weak points of the resolution being brought to the attention of the delegation by many speakers, the committee called for an immediate unmoderated caucus to discuss possible amendments to address these issues. Delegates from various nations met and collaborated to create several amendments that they said would "strengthen the weaker points of this foundationally strong resolution." With only one hour left in tonight's session, the committee moved with deliberate speed to get this resolution solidified and passable.

With only thirty minutes left in the session, the committee seemed eager to move into closure of debate. Though some delegates felt that the resolution needed "polishing," the committee as a whole saw the resolution as passable. Excitement buzzed around the room as the delegation moved into closure of debate. With wide-spread support, the resolution passed. Without missing a beat, the delegation dove right into their next topic, strengthening the Biological Weapons Convention