

## Assessment Plan Evaluation Rubric - SLATE 2024

Program name:

Assessment Plan Descriptors	Best Practice	Acceptable	Developing	Rating/Comments
<b>Mission Statement</b>	The mission statement is specific, clear, and meaningful.	A general statement lacks one of the following: specificity, meaning, clarity.	No mission statement.	
<b>Learning Outcomes</b>	<p>The number of outcomes is appropriate for the program.</p> <p>All outcomes are clear and concise.</p> <p>All outcomes are based on student learning that is observable, measurable, and meaningfully related to current standards in the field.</p>	<p>The number of outcomes is too few to assess the program adequately or too numerous to be manageable.</p> <p>Most outcomes are clear, but some could be clearer or more concise.</p> <p>Most outcomes are based on student learning, but some may be difficult to observe or measure.</p>	<p>There are no outcomes.</p> <p>Most outcomes need revision to make them clearer or more concise.</p> <p>Most outcomes are based on pedagogical activities rather than learning.</p> <p>Most outcomes cannot be observed or measured.</p>	
<b>Curriculum and Program Map</b>	A complete grid includes program learning outcomes along with clear connections to required courses or experiences in the program.	A grid includes program learning outcomes along with some connections to courses or experiences in the program.	The grid is incomplete or missing	

<p><b>Program Requirements:</b></p> <p><b>Capstone</b></p> <p><b>Research Experience</b></p> <p><b>Service</b></p>	<p>A capstone experience/course is identified.</p> <p>The program includes meaningful research experience.</p> <p>The program includes meaningful service experience.</p>	<p>The program lacks a meaningful capstone, research experience, or service experience.</p>	<p>The program lacks two or more of the following: capstone, research, and service.</p>	
<p><b>Methods of Assessment of Learning Outcomes</b></p>	<p>There are multiple direct (e.g., tests of knowledge, rubric scores) and indirect (e.g., student satisfaction, self-reported learning) measures of student outcomes (<a href="#">Further examples</a>). Measures are valid.</p> <p>The measures are meaningfully related to the outcomes.</p>	<p>There are two to three direct or indirect measures. Measures are valid.</p> <p>The measures are generally related to the outcomes.</p>	<p>There are no measures of outcomes or the measures are not valid.</p> <p>The measures do not relate to the outcomes.</p>	
<p><b>Results of Assessment of Learning Outcomes</b></p>	<p>Assessment results are included. The results are meaningfully related to outcomes.</p> <p>New findings are compared to previous results.</p> <p>Explanation describes how targets were met/not met.</p>	<p>Assessment results are included.</p> <p>Strengths and weaknesses are identified.</p>	<p>Assessment results are unclear.</p> <p>No areas of growth are explained.</p>	

<p><b>Use of Data in Last Two Years</b></p>	<p>The assessment plan identifies a person/group with responsibility for improving the program (e.g., a committee, specific faculty).</p> <p>Timetable for implementation is included.</p> <p>Specific examples of how the data were used and specific changes (e.g., adding a class, deleting a class, developing a rubric, etc.) are included.</p> <p>There is clear evidence of “closing the loop” and meaningful improvements.</p>	<p>The assessment plan identifies a plan to improve the program.</p> <p>Lacking a clear timetable, more use of data is desirable.</p> <p>There is some evidence of data use in making decisions and changes.</p> <p>One or two general examples of changes are included.</p> <p>There is some evidence of “closing the loop.”</p>	<p>The assessment plan lacks an improvement plan and an identified person.</p> <p>There is not a clear timeline for reviewing data and implementing change.</p> <p>Data is not being used.</p> <p>Changes are not based on assessment.</p> <p>There is no evidence of “closing the loop.”</p>	
<p><b>Goals for Next Two Years</b></p>	<p>Timetable for implementation is included.</p> <p>Specific examples of how the data will be used and specific changes (e.g., adding a class, deleting a class, developing a rubric, etc.) are included.</p> <p>There is clear evidence of “closing the loop” and meaningful improvements.</p>	<p>Lacking a clear timetable.</p> <p>One or two general examples of changes are included.</p> <p>There is some evidence of “closing the loop.”</p>	<p>There is not a clear timeline.</p> <p>Proposed changes are not based on assessment.</p> <p>There is no evidence of “closing the loop.”</p>	

**General Comments:**

**General Education**

<b>Assessment Plan Descriptors</b>	<b>Best Practice</b>	<b>Acceptable</b>	<b>Developing</b>	<b>Rating/Comments</b>
<p><b>Results of Assessment of General Education Learning Outcomes</b></p>	<p>Assessment results are included. The results are meaningfully related to outcomes.</p> <p>New findings are compared to previous results.</p> <p>Explanation describes how targets were met/not met.</p>	<p>Assessment results are included.</p> <p>Strengths and weaknesses are identified.</p>	<p>Assessment results are unclear.</p> <p>No areas of growth are explained.</p>	
<p><b>General Education Use of Data in Last Two Years</b></p>	<p>The assessment plan identifies a person/group with responsibility for improving the general education program (e.g., a committee, specific faculty).</p> <p>Timetable for implementation is included.</p> <p>Specific examples of how the data were used and specific changes are included.</p> <p>There is clear evidence of “closing the loop” and meaningful improvements.</p>	<p>The assessment plan identifies a plan to improve the program.</p> <p>Lacking a clear timetable, more use of data still desirable.</p> <p>There is some evidence of data use in making decisions and changes.</p> <p>One or two general examples of changes are included.</p> <p>There is evidence of “closing the loop.”</p>	<p>The assessment plan lacks an improvement plan and an identified person.</p> <p>There is not a clear timeline for reviewing data and implementing change.</p> <p>Data is not being used.</p> <p>Changes are not based on assessment.</p> <p>There is no evidence of “closing the loop.”</p>	

<p><b>Goals for Next Two Years</b></p>	<p>Timetable for implementation is included.</p> <p>Specific examples of how the data will be used and specific changes (e.g., adding a class, deleting a class, developing a rubric, etc.) are included.</p> <p>There is clear evidence of “closing the loop” and meaningful improvements.</p>	<p>Lacking a clear timetable.</p> <p>One or two general examples of changes are included.</p> <p>There is some evidence of “closing the loop.”</p>	<p>There is not a clear timeline.</p> <p>Proposed changes are not based on assessment.</p> <p>There is no evidence of “closing the loop.”</p>	
--	---	--	---	--

**General Comments:**