

IPD Special Political

The committee starts of on resolution 1\18 special political. Kazistan offers peacekeeping and no violence against the terrorist. Then Russia Federation returns with a pro speech that offers peacekeeping and he offers an amendment to strike military forces. Then Afghanistan adds with another pro speech and Lebanon goes con. United States backfires with a con speech and notes that it's too broad and mentions other attacks by terrorist. Then Russ F offers a friendly amendment to add operative clause 2 from resolution 1\12 and add it operative clause 6 on 1\18, emphasizing to drive down drug use form these terrorist. Russ F greatly states that with these amendment this resolution should be passed. Many delegates are not liking operative clause 4 and Chile propose an unfriendly amendment to strike operative clause 4. This will be followed by a debate. There was many good points added and in the end it fails and operative clause 4 will stay. Many countries add that peacekeeping could resolve in violence and might not work added by Italy. Democratic people republic of Korea adds that peacekeepers could actually be police. Then South Korea has a friendly an amendment to only send these force to a country only with the host countries consent. This amendment passes. Now on the second day and the first move is to table this topic Argentina goes con and says we put in the work for nothing. Argentina didn't do much so now it gets tabled by 3 votes. The next resolution is on 2\1. Quwwat is the first one up on pro he states that it's very strong and holds very strong preambles and wants to add some more operative clauses and says operative clause 1 should be fixed as well as operative clause 2. First one up on con is Lebanon and he states it will take too long to make more amendments and makes an

example of the last one and would rather debate on resolution 2\11. Quwwat offers a friendly amendment to add operative clause 4 from 2\11 to resolution 2\1 and it passes. South Korea proposes a friendly amendment to give trial of espionage to the UN. Argentina says that opp cl 1 give out great points and notes the amendments and suggest that they should take another moderated caucus. Iran switches side to con when they were on pro. Kazakhstan says it's too vague and says operative clause 3 is too dependent on the UN. Kazakhstan says that we should rely souly on the UN and wants more details on the actions to fix the problems. US says operative clause 1 is very good and mentions Australia actions of not using this against other countries and mentions espionage happens with carelessness and say they should not table this resolution. On delegate says that The US is doing espionage against Latin American countries.

Quwwat offers a friendly amendment that strikes operative clause 2. US adds another friendly amendment to educate citizen on cyber-attacks. Chile states that it was dumb to pick a weaker resolution and thinks it I kind of dumb to keep adding amendments and just get rid of it.

Guatemala emphasizes that no resolution is perfect and if a country has a problem they should deal with themselves and don't have a third party. Guatemala thinks it could be possible to add another amendment. Russ F tries to do committee of the whole and it passes.

Russ F states that they brought it up because the perambulators are some of the best. Russ F also says that they should keep amending the resolution. Quwwat also wants to keep amending it and mentions Chile will probably even vote pro if they keep amending it. US is disappointed that delegates think it should be perfect and he states again that no resolution is perfect. Argentina says it has great potential and could become one of the best resolutions.

South Korea says it is waste of time if they table the resolution. Chile states that he has no

intention of tabling the topic. Iraq wants idea of what they could add then Chile backfires and says he will pass if there was amendments. Guatemala says it should not be passed and Chad adds that it make really good points. Argentina states that many people want to move on resolution 2\11. Quwwat notes that there is no perfect resolution and most likely it will not pass without more amendments. DPRK point out that the fifth preamble and says no nation is going to give consent of spying. States that operative clause 4 and 3 is the total opposite of the whole resolution. Chile's action end committee of the whole. Russ F has a friendly amendment adds operative clause 3 and 5 from 2\9 to 2\1. DPRK has an unfriendly amendment to strike operative clause 3 and 4 and this will be followed by a debate. Argentina thinks that the two operative clauses were not that bad and there is no need for striking them. Iran states that nation will dislike be investigated. US does not believe we should not strike them and keep an open mind. Out of all the good points mad it fails and operative clause 3 and 4 will stay in resolution 2\1. Honduras offers a friendly amendment that states that the UN to limit the abilities of espionage groups and corporations. India has a friendly amendment that strikes operative clause 4. Kazakhstan friendly amendment that adds operative clause 2 from 2\3 to resolution 2\1. US has a friendly amendment it states that nations should handle espionage in their own respective countries and only take the UN as a third party. Quorate has a friendly amendment adds operative clause requires UN to gain digression before taking investigation. Eventually at the end of the heated debate the resolution passes. They have voted to go on topic 3 and right then and there they vote to table topic 3 so they can move back too topic 1. DPNK made it clear that he would want to go on resolution 3\1 instead of moving to topic 1. The tabling fails and they will stay on topic 3. The next resolution they will

be on is resolution 3\4. First up is South Korea says this resolution addresses many issues as well that you can't bring weapons of mass destruction up into space. Leb states that it misses the future of space exploration and does not cover all the points and d called operative clause 2 useless. Russ F explains that he is confused by operative clause 4 and sees no use for it. India states that he like operative clause 2 and says that it has a strong base. Jordan does not believe it should be passed and he refers that resolution 3\9 has better base and is much stronger. Argentina thinks that it is a great start for the topic and it covers the majority of the issue. DPRK states that operative clause 2 is very vague and if operative clause 2 stays he will not pass it. He states it can become a much stronger resolution if some opp cl from 3\1 were added. US addresses that it is broad were it can be and it is specific were it needs to be. He refers back to the space treaty that was made in the 1960's he also states that he would be fine with an amendment that helps smaller countries with a space programs. Chile offers an unfriendly amendment that states add operative clause 1-7 from 3\1 to resolution 3\4 this will be followed by a debate. Russ F states that there is better priorities then operative clause 3 and does not see the need for operative clause 5 and 6. US says these operative clause are too farfetched and especially thinks space militia is very farfetched. They are now in a committee of the whole and they are making many points. DPRK is offering to reword operative clause 2 and Iran points out that they only encourage a space police force. Now that the committee of the whole ends DPRK is mentioning to get this done and to get to topic one and is wanting to get people off the speakers list. Now that I have to leave sadly I will not be able to finish the debate and this all I got.